



Classes included in this report:

Subject Catalog Number Class Number

POLITSC 3905 30370

Raters	Students
Responded	33
Invited	61
Response Ratio	54%

Frequency Analysis

The 9 questions of the SEI are organized around three key elements of teaching. Results are grouped based on these elements.

Instructor's preparedness, organization of material, and clarity of presentation

	Response#	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	N/A
1. The subject matter of this course was well organized	32	0%	0%	6%	25%	69%	0%
5. The instructor was well prepared	32	0%	0%	3%	31%	66%	0%
9. The instructor communicated the subject matter clearly	32	0%	3%	3%	28%	66%	0%

Rapport and instructor commitment

	Response#	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	N/A
3. This instructor was genuinely interested in teaching	32	0%	0%	0%	9%	91%	0%
6. The instructor was genuinely interested in helping students	32	0%	0%	3%	16%	81%	0%
8. The instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning	32	0%	0%	6%	28%	66%	0%

Students' sense of their own learning

	Response#	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	N/A
2. This course was intellectually stimulating	32	0%	3%	6%	25%	66%	0%
4. The instructor encouraged students to think for themselves	32	0%	3%	6%	19%	72%	0%
7. I learned a great deal from this instructor	32	0%	3%	9%	25%	63%	0%

Overall, I would rate this instructor as... (Question 10)


Response#	Poor	Fair	Neutral	Good	Excellent
32	0%	0%	6%	22%	72%

Score Analysis


Your mean scores are summarized below. Comparison group scores are provided. The College and the University comparison groups are based on the size of your class. The Department group is not. Class size groups are 1-19, 20-60, and 61+. This information is also presented as a table at the end of this section.




6. The instructor was genuinely interested in helping students




7. I learned a great deal from this instructor




8. The instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning



9. The instructor communicated the subject matter clearly



10. Overall, I would rate this instructor as



Question	Instructor		Department (Political Science)		College (Arts and Sciences L)		University (1252 L)	
	Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean	Standard Deviation
1. The subject matter of this course was well organized	4.63	0.61	4.42	0.84	4.29	0.92	4.31	0.92
2. This course was intellectually stimulating	4.53	0.76	4.27	0.93	4.20	0.96	4.22	0.96
3. This instructor was genuinely interested in teaching	4.91	0.30	4.53	0.74	4.40	0.87	4.41	0.85
4. The instructor encouraged students to think for themselves	4.59	0.76	4.42	0.81	4.33	0.87	4.36	0.85
5. The instructor was well prepared	4.63	0.55	4.46	0.79	4.36	0.89	4.38	0.86
6. The instructor was genuinely interested in helping students	4.78	0.49	4.47	0.77	4.33	0.92	4.37	0.88
7. I learned a great deal from this instructor	4.47	0.80	4.26	0.93	4.13	1.05	4.18	1.00
8. The instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning	4.59	0.61	4.32	0.90	4.20	0.99	4.25	0.95
9. The instructor communicated the subject matter clearly	4.56	0.72	4.39	0.86	4.18	1.04	4.24	0.99
10. Overall, I would rate this instructor as	4.66	0.60	4.44	0.82	4.27	1.01	4.32	0.96

Please select the primary reason you enrolled in this class

Please select the primary reason you enrolled in this class		
Options	Count	Percentage
It was specifically required in my major/minor	7	22%
It was one of several choices to meet a requirement in my major	25	78%
It fulfills a General Education requirement	0	0%
It was a free elective choice	0	0%

Comments

Comments are retained for one year. This section will be deleted from this report at the end of Spring 2026. Please save a copy of this report if you want access to comments after that time.

Comments

Comments
I loved having professor Kim so much, she is so nice and an amazing teacher!
I really enjoyed having Professor Kim for this class, I feel that she is very knowledgeable and has a great interest in teaching, but I also felt that the course could have benefited from more of a focus on the applications of the course topics, such as analysis of historical uses of political manipulation and where it succeeded or failed, such as in 20th-century dictatorships.
This class was great!
She is super nice and very obviously invested in students. The final projects were interesting to listen to and not too much of a time commitment to put together.
Fun class!
She's a sweetie.
Super entertaining and interesting class, great professor with a fun sense of humor. Loved it
She always prepare well for class and tried to encourage students to participate in discussion via tophat. It was good for students who doesn't like to talk in large group. She is a very careful instructor so she tried a lot to communicate with students. Thank you for providing this good course!
This class' content is so similar to the Political Psychology course that I feel like I really didn't end up learning anything. I don't think that is Instructor Kim's fault but I do think it is a flaw in the political science dept. Differentiating these classes a little more might be helpful.
The professor's content is very in-depth. This is my favorite class since I came to OSU.
Wonderful class with a wonderful instructor. There's not many improvements I can suggest.